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Abstract—In the age of economic globalization, it is important for college students to master such an international language as English. The computer scoring is an effective tool to enhance their ability of English learning. Drawing on theories of formative assessment and structural learning, this paper mainly verifies the promoting effect of computer scoring on English learning among college students. The data were collected through a questionnaire survey, and a case study was carried out on a scoring website for English writing. The results show that: formative assessment and structural learning lay the theoretical basis for computer scoring; college students generally recognize that computer scoring system greatly enhances their ability and enthusiasm of English learning; the target computer scoring system (www.pigai.org) facilitates autonomous learning under teacher supervision, with the functions on student and teacher interfaces. The research findings greatly promote the development of computer scoring and English learning among college students.
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1 Introduction

Economic globalization is the defining trend in the era of the Internet. Against this trend, it is critical for college students to learn an international language. English, the most important language in the world, is a mandatory course of advanced education in many countries. With the potential to impact their country and beyond, college students will significantly promote cross-border communication and benefit the society, if they could truly master English [1-6].

The four basic skills of English learning are listening, speaking, reading and writing [7]. Among them, writing is more difficult yet important than the other skills [8-9]. Listening and speaking depend heavily on the linguistic sense, which can be nurtured through long-term practices [10]. According to the College English Curriculum Requirements [11], college English teachers should make full use of information technology (IT), producing computer- and web-based English courses [12-13].

The advancement of the IT has brought a new appraisal technique for the English proficiency of college students: computer scoring [14]. This novel language learning tool provides feedbacks to learners via human-computer interaction [15].
scoring enables students to learn autonomously, and helps teachers monitor the learning progress of each student [16-17]. To date, a number of free computer scoring systems have been applied for English learning, and many scholars have evaluated their benefits to college English learners [18-19].

Drawing on relevant learning theories, this paper attempts to verify the promoting effects of computer scoring on English learning among college students. The data were collected through a questionnaire survey, and a case study was carried out on a scoring website for English writing. The research results provide reference for applying computer technology in college English teaching.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Formative assessment

Formative assessment refers to the formal or informal assessment procedures conducted by teachers during the learning process. It typically involves feedback for both student and teacher on content and performance. Through formative assessment, the teachers can timely evaluate the learning performance of students under different environments, and modify teaching and learning activities to improve student attainment [20-22].

There are four major functions of formative assessment: motivation, diagnosis, record and summary. First, formative assessment tells students their deficiencies and learning status, and motivates them to engage in learning, creating a virtuous cycle of self-assessment and self-motivation [23]. Second, formative assessment reveals the current learning status and performance of students, and assists the teachers to optimize the teaching plan [24]. Third, formative assessment fully records the scores, materials and learning situation of every student, such that he/she could reflect on the current learning method and optimize the future learning style [25-27]. Fourth, formative assessment provides feedback for teachers to adjust subsequent teaching strategy, and for students to sum up the strengths and defects in learning [29]. Figure 1 illustrates the mechanism of formative assessment.
2.2 Structural learning

Structural learning theory holds that students should not only learn from teachers, but acquire knowledge from learning materials with the help of teachers and peers [30-31]. The knowledge acquisition process relies on meaning construction [32].

The four elements of structural learning include context, conversation, construction and collaboration [33]. This learning theory highlights the need to place students at the center of the teaching process, i.e. fully arouse their learning interests, turning passive learning into the active mode [34-36]. In structural learning, the teachers should encourage students to construct knowledge, in addition to conveying knowledge [37].

Structural learning lays the theoretical basis of computer scoring, which helps students constantly improve learning strategies and enhance learning ability [38-40]. Table 1 illustrates how structural learning differs from traditional learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Traditional learning</th>
<th>Structural learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning mode</td>
<td>Passive learning</td>
<td>Active learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s role</td>
<td>Knowledge carrier</td>
<td>Knowledge manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning process</td>
<td>Lecturing</td>
<td>Autonomous learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Questionnaire Survey

The authors prepared a questionnaire on the promoting effects of computer scoring on English learning among college students. Before preparing the questionnaire, the authors interviewed computer technicians, college English teachers, psychologists, and
management scientists. A total of 600 questionnaires were distributed among college students from five colleges in Shijiazhuang and Baoding, northern China’s Hebei province. Out of the 549 questionnaires being returned, 78.32% (430) are valid. The basic information of the respondents is given in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English major (Y/N)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Use frequency of computer scoring system

According to the survey results, Figure 2 presents how frequent the respondents use computer-scoring system. It can be seen that, among the 430 respondents, 135 often use computer scoring system in English learning, 207 utilize the system on an occasional basis, 75 has not yet used the system, and 13 has never heard of it. Overall, the utilization rate of computer scoring system is high among college students.

![Fig. 2. The use frequency of computer scoring system](image-url)
3.2 Evaluation of computer scoring system

Figure 3 displays the respondents’ evaluation of computer scoring system in the context of English learning. As shown in Figure 3, computer scoring system is considered strongly useful among 125 respondents, slightly useful among 179, neither useful nor useless among 85, and slightly or strongly useless among 41. Therefore, college students generally recognize that computer scoring system can greatly improve English learning.

3.3 English performance after using computer scoring system

Figure 4 presents the changes in English performance among the 342 respondents who have used computer scoring system. Obviously, the English performance of 97 respondents has significantly improved, that of 85 has slightly improved, that of 103 has not changed, and that of 57 has worsened. Thus, most college students have witnessed improvement in English performance after using computer scoring system. However, a few students fail to make any improvement, possible due to the difference in learning methods. To solve the problem, the computer scoring system should be modified to suit the aptitude of individual students.
Figure 5 shows how many times the 342 respondents revise their English writings after using computer scoring system. The number of revisions reflects how much the system motivates the students in English learning. After using the system, 72 respondents did not revise their English writings, 192 revised once, 65 revised twice, and 12 revised three times and above. Overall, most college students have made one or two, and even three or more revisions to their English writings after using computer scoring system, indicating that the system could stimulate the students’ enthusiasm for English learning.
4 Case Study

4.1 Computer scoring system

Our case study targets a computer scoring system (www.pigai.org) developed by Cikuu, a leading provider of intelligent language technology. Based on computer technology, the system can automatically score English writings, and mainly serves English teachers and learners in colleges. To date, the system has been adopted by many Chinese colleges, covering more than 200,000 students. Each day, over 100,000 English writings are rated by the system, making it an immensely successful computer scoring websites.

4.2 System functions

Figures 6 and 7 provide the functions of the target system on the teacher interface and student interface, respectively. Seven functions are available on the teacher interface, namely, setting up a class, assigning homework, browsing homework, duplicate checking, recording data, writing reviews, and modifying scores. With these functions, the teacher can supervise the English learning of students in an effective manner.

![Diagram of System Functions]

Fig. 6. Functions on teacher interface
As shown in Figure 7, there are also seven functions on the student interface, including preparation, doing homework, self-training, computer scoring, assisted revision, and communication. These functions encourage the students to engage in autonomous learning of English writing.

![Fig. 7. Functions on student interface](image)

### 4.3 System application

Figure 8 displays the computer scoring interface of the target system. On the left is the writing by a student. By its scoring standard, the system automatically generates a total score of the writing, and a number of scores on multiple aspects of the writing (e.g. vocabulary, sentence structure, organization and relevance). The system also makes reviews on the writing. The scores and reviews can be modified by the teacher. In addition, the teacher can check the revised contents, number of revisions and score changes of each students, and make adjustment to his/her teaching method.
Conclusion

Based on theories of formative assessment and structural learning, this paper explores deep into the promoting effects of computer scoring on English learning among college students. The following conclusions were drawn through questionnaire survey and case analysis:

1. Formative assessment and structural learning lay a solid basis for computer scoring systems. The former offers such four function as motivation, diagnosis, record and summary, and the latter highlights autonomous learning under teacher supervision.
2. College students frequently utilize computer scoring system, and recognize that the system can improve English learning. Most of them have witnessed improvement in English performance after using computer scoring system. Moreover, the system could stimulate the students’ enthusiasm for English learning.
3. Our case study targets an immensely successful computer scoring system (www.pigai.org) for English writings. The system provides seven functions on teacher interface (i.e. setting up a class, assigning homework, browsing homework, duplicate checking, recording data, writing reviews, and modifying scores) and seven functions on student interface (i.e. preparation, doing homework, self-training, computer scoring, assisted revision, and communication). These functions make up a complete flow for autonomous learning under teacher supervision.
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