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Abstract—Heart disease remains the main leading cause of death globally and around 50% of the patients died due to sudden cardiac death (SCD). Early detection and prediction of SCD have become an important topic of research and it is crucial for cardiac patient’s survival. Electrocardiography (ECG) has always been the first screening method for patient with cardiac complaints and it is proven as an important predictor of SCD. ECG parameters such as RR interval, QT duration, QRS complex curve, J-point elevation and T-wave alternan are found effective in differentiating normal and SCD subjects. The objectives of this paper are to give an overview of SCD and to analyze multiple important ECG-based SCD detection and prediction models in terms of processing techniques and performance wise. Detail discussions are made in four major stages of the models developed including ECG data, signal pre-processing and processing techniques as well as classification methods. Heart rate variability (HRV) is found as an important SCD predictor as it is widely used in detecting or predicting SCD. Studies showed the possibility of SCD to be detected as early as one hour prior to the event using linear and non-linear features of HRV. Currently, up to 3 hours of analysis has been carried out. However, the best prediction models are only able to detect SCD at 6 minutes before the event with acceptable accuracy of 92.77%. A few arguments and recommendation in terms of data preparation, processing and classification techniques, as well as utilizing photoplethysmography with ECG are pointed out in this paper so that future analysis can be done with better accuracy of SCD detection accuracy.
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1 Introduction

World Health Organization (WHO) has reported that as in 2016, heart diseases especially ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and stroke remain as the main leading causes
of death for 15 years especially in middle-income and high-income countries [1]. As in 2015, American Heart Association (AHA) reported that heart disease is the main leading cause of death in the United States where IHD is the main contributor with the percentage of 43.8% [2]. IHD can cause myocardial infarction (MI) and normally lead to sudden cardiac arrest (SCA), which eventually caused sudden cardiac death (SCD) if not treated within minutes. Moreover, approximately 50% deaths of the heart disease patients are due to SCD [3,4]. Due to these facts, SCD detection and prediction has become a major research interest since early detection of this event could save many lives.

There are many techniques that can be used to diagnose SCD, electrocardiography (ECG) is one of them. ECG features are widely used as the prediction input as it is non-invasive and radioactive free diagnostic tool. ECG has been recognized equally effective in predicting the SCD event as compared to the other invasive techniques [5]. A few studies of ECG-based SCD detection have demonstrated the possibility of SCD to be detected as early as 30 minutes or 1 hour before it happens, using heart rate variability (HRV) as its main feature [6–8].

For SCD prediction, researchers have greatly achieved a promising accuracy, more than 90%, as early as six minutes before the event [9–13]. Various linear and non-linear methods have been used to achieve such accuracy, utilizing the ECG’s features in time, frequency and time-frequency domains. Nevertheless, six minutes is still far from early, as patient or medical team most likely does not have enough time to respond to the situation. Thus, current available models are still impractical for clinical uses due to some limitations such as limited data set, biases in data collection, risk categorization issues and non-standardization methods for early detection [4,14–15].

This paper highlights the epidemiology of SCD and shows how ECG is important in detecting or predicting the event. Potential ECG features for SCD detection has been summarized and discussed in this paper. A few significant models of ECG-based detection and prediction are compared in terms of data preparation, processing technique and classification methods. The importance of sample length determination and peak detection are emphasized for more reliable output in future analysis. Recommendation are given on the use of new machine learning techniques as well as simultaneously analyzing photoplethysmography (PPG) and ECG to improve the performance of SCD detection and prediction models.

2 Sudden Cardiac Death

SCD can be defined as non-traumatic, non-violent and unexpected fatality from SCA within 6 hours of previously witnessed of normal health [16]. Meanwhile, SCA happens when the heart is suddenly or unexpectedly stops beating which causes the blood to stop flowing to vital organs including the brain. Immediate response or treatment is momentously needed to prevent sudden death. SCA is different from heart attack and stroke. Heart attack occurs when blood flow to part of the heart muscle is blocked and stroke occurs when blood flow to brain is blocked. However, SCA might happens during or after recovery from heart attack.
Anyone could have SCA, regardless of their age, gender, with known or unknown disease possessed. Figure 1 shows the number of sudden cardiac death per 100 000 population by age in 2015 provided by American Heart Association [17]. The trend demonstrates that the risk factors of SCD is increasing with age. In general, congenital disorder that specifically affect the myocardium or the electrical system, are the cause of death for infants and young children [16]. As for adults with aged over 30 years, coronary artery disease (CAD) and left ventricles hypertrophy, due to longstanding blood pressure, are the main leading causes of SCD [12]. Other causes of SCD are valvular heart diseases and ion-channel disorder including Burgada syndrome, long QT syndrome (LQTS), short QT syndrome (SQTS), prolong corrected QT (QTc), Wolf-Parkinson-White syndrome (WPW) and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT). A few guidelines have been made to suggest prevention strategies, clinical and patient management to detect or prevent SCD [15,16, 18–20].

Fig. 1. Death rate of sudden cardiac death by age in 2015 [17]

Around 50% to 70% of SCD occurs due to arrhythmia [21]. Arrhythmia is a mechanism of SCD occurrence where electrical disturbance existed in the heart that makes the heart beats too slowly (bradycardia), too fast (tachycardia) or with irregular rhythms. Normally, SCA is manifesting to abnormal rhythm such as ventricular tachycardia (VT) which might lead to fatal ventricular fibrillation (VFib) [22]. Both of the ventricular arrhythmias contribute 84% of SCD compared to bradycardia, 16% [10]. Statistic also shows that VT and VFib are also the cause of SCD to the post MI patients [23].

Cardiologist and cardiac electrophysiologists are responsible to the patients that have high risk to SCA. Nevertheless, most of the times, SCA is only diagnosed with medical test after the patient experienced it. Invasive techniques such as blood test, cardiac catheterization and electrophysiology study (EPS) have been used as main techniques to diagnose SCA. Imaging machines such as chest X-ray, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scan could provide clear and reliable results. However, the imaging test will only be conducted if symptoms are clear and abnormal ECG is detected. Therefore, the non-invasive ECG remains as
an important platform to diagnose the disease. ECG is proven as important markers as studies show that 95% of the SCA patients are having abnormal ECG [22, 24]. Implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) are recommended for SCD patients to correct potentially lethal arrhythmias and reduce the risk of sudden death but it costly and impracticable for certain patients [25].

3 ECG-based Detection and Prediction Models

Developing SCD detection or prediction model that solely based on ECG signal remains as a challenging task. These models are normally developed in four main stages, which include ECG data acquisition, signal pre-processing, signal processing and classification of the ECG signals. These processes are simplified as in Figure 2.

![Fig. 2. Stages of SCD detection or prediction models](image)

3.1 ECG data

SCA is triggered as a result of malfunction of the heart that cause arrhythmia. Arrhythmic ECG data of patients who survived the first cardiac arrest or heart failure patients are normally chosen for this kind of analysis since these patients have more risk to SCD [21, 23, 24, 26]. ECG recording of these specific group is very challenging as it took huge effort from medical doctors and patients for years of monitoring.

For accurate SCA or SCD prediction, continuous ECG recording before a patient having cardiac arrest is needed. However, this data is very difficult to get since around 70% of cardiac arrest happened at patient’s home [2]. Continuous monitoring of patient wearing portable recording device such as Holter monitor is needed. The only available online database for SCD prediction is MIT-BIH SCD Holter databases (SDDB) collected by MIT and Boston’s Beth Israel Hospital [27]. SDDB consists at least 3 to 25 hours of ECG recordings from 23 patients aged 17 to 89 years that experiencing cardiac arrest. Twenty of these patients are having on set Ventricle Fibrillation. Nevertheless, SDDB only provides two-channel ECG records which causes comprehensive 12 leads analysis not possible. Statistically unbalanced analysis due to limited subjects, incomplete information of patients and incomplete R to R peaks annotation provided by SDDB dataset could be the reason for poor performance analysis. More SDDB similar databases are urgently needed so that the study can be continued on more population for more accurate result. Physionet also provides long-term
non-arrhythmic ECG database (NSRDB) for normal sinus rhythm. Both NSRDB and SDDB are commonly used together for SCD detection or prediction models.

3.2 Signal pre-processing

Recorded ECG signals are subjected to noise such as baseline wander, power line frequency and motion artefacts from subject movement during recording process. High frequency noise is normally removed in analogue circuits which is embedded in the recording devices. Low frequency baseline wander is removed by applying digital filter through coding. Shen et al [28] applied 1Hz to 50Hz IIR filter to remove baseline wander in their hardware while Raka et al [22] chose different bandwidth of 0.5Hz to 45Hz for the filter. Houshyarifar et al [9] and Ebrahimzahed et al [12] used two stages moving average filter while Devi et al [6] and Nayan et al [29] have used Fast Fourier Transform to identify and remove the noise. Meanwhile, Acharya et al [10] have used wavelet technique for denoising processes. Daubechies 6 (db6) mother wavelet and discrete wavelet transform were applied up to second level of filtering to get the clean signal.

Once clean signals are obtained, data were segmented into samples. Various sample length is used to extract the ECG features in the available SCD detection and prediction models. Normally one-minute sample starting right before the event of VFib to allow minute-by-minute prediction for up to 3 hours recording [9,10,12,22]. However, Vanitha and Sheela et al [7,8] have used overlapping 10-minute sample window to analyze half an hour SDDB recordings while Devi et al [6] used four minutes sample duration for the same purpose. These variations could affect HRV analysis on the frequency domain. Ordinarily, five-minute sample window is used to extract the low and high frequency components and longer window is needed for comprehensive assessment in the very low frequency (VLF) range of the signal [30]. Hence, VLF is not suggested to be used as the input feature for small size window sample.

ECG main peak (QRS complex or R peak) is then detected from the sample window. This is the most important step in pre-processing stage since interval between two adjacent R peaks are used in measuring the heart rate and HRV. Wrong or missing peak detected could affect the analysis and overall performance of the detection or prediction models. Pan-Tompkins derivative algorithm [31] of QRS peak detection has been widely used by researchers in this field. Other QRS detectors used different R peak enhancing techniques such as Shannon energy, Hilbert transform, S-transform and wavelet coefficients with the objectives of filtering out unnecessary peaks and opting for the best threshold for R peak detection [32–38]. These algorithms are not necessarily impeccable for all type of signal since ECG itself is not stationary in nature due to morphological difference of the subjects. For example, Nayan et al [39] has evaluated five different peak detectors and found that Behar and Cliffords’ method gave the best result when using MIMICII and CapnoBase ECG dataset. Despite the importance of peak detection, most of the SCD prediction models that used SDDB dataset reviewed were not describing the method of peak detection in details.
3.3 Signal processing

The third stage is to extract features from the ECG sample. There are multiple parameters of ECG signal used as features to differentiate normal and SCD risk patients. Abdelghani and Morin [3] have done an outstanding review on these parameters as important predictors of SCA. Advantageous and disadvantageous of each parameter was properly described and discussed. Summary of these parameters can be found in Table 1. Linear and non-linear features can be extracted out from these parameters but most of the features are independent. Abdelghani and Morin have suggested to combine these features for multifactorial risk stratification as it is found that heart rate turbulence (HRT), J-point elevation as well as T-peak to T-end (Tpe) features have the potential to be the next future predictor of SCA.

Table 1. Potential ECG predictors of SCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECG parameter</th>
<th>Description[45]</th>
<th>Potential SCA prediction features</th>
<th>Relation to arrhythmia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QT duration</td>
<td>Represet total duration of ventricular depolarization and repolarization</td>
<td>Corrected QT (QTc) – QT duration over square root of RR interval</td>
<td>Short QTc Syndrome (&lt; 0.39s) is very rare but might cause malignant arrhythmia. Prolonged QTc interval (Men&gt;0.45s &amp; Women&gt;0.47s) can be independent SCD predictor [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS complex</td>
<td>Represet the rapid depolarization of the both right and left ventricles</td>
<td>QRS complex duration - Broad or Wide QRS complexes indicates slow depolarization</td>
<td>QRS complex duration (&gt;0.12s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T wave</td>
<td>Represent the repolarization of the ventricles</td>
<td>T wave alternan – bit to bit fluctuation of T wave amplitude</td>
<td>Abnormal TWA is associated with the increased of dispersion in the left ventricles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T-peak to T-end (Tpe) – measure of dispersion of ventricular repolarization</td>
<td>Prolongation of Tpe interval indicates higher DVR or potential reentrant VT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J point</td>
<td>Junction of QRS complex and ST-segment</td>
<td>Elevation of J-point (by 0.1mV in contiguous inferior of lateral ECG leads) represents early repolarization</td>
<td>Elevated J point is found in most of the inherited Sudden Arrhythmic Death Syndrome families.[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR interval</td>
<td>Represent one complete cycle of the heart</td>
<td>Resting Heart Rate (HR)</td>
<td>Used to determine arrhythmia. (Normal HR are between 60 BPM to 100 BPM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heart Rate Variability (HRV) - the amount of variation in RR intervals</td>
<td>Lower HRV are linked to an increase of ventricular arrhythmia and mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heart Rate Turbulence (HRT) – minute changes in ventricular cycle length following premature ventricular contraction</td>
<td>Proven as a strong indicator for post-MI patients. HRT studies includes relative changes in RRinterval and rate of changes of RRinterval back to baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCD predictor models available used only MIT-BIH SCD Holter database. The database is limited to two-channel ECG lead analysis. Features such as J-point elevation, fQRS for early repolarization detection or QT dispersion cannot be analyzed as multi-
ple leads are needed for the analysis. Hence, mean resting heart rate and HRV have become the main features to predict SCD. HRV started to be used in cardiology by Axelrod et al in 1980 [40]. Kleiger et al [41] and Bigger et al [42] have proven that HRV are related to severe ventricular arrhythmia and sudden death. Van Hoogenhuyze et al [43] show the importance of mean and standard deviation values of HRV as they found that low HRV values are associated with patients who experience SCD. In 1996, Voss et al [23] have used non-linear dynamic method of HRV data to classify normal, low risk and high risk patients to SCD while Malik et al [44] shows HRV can be accepted as an independent predictor of SCD after Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI). These findings have motivated more studies to explore HRV in modelling SCD predictors. Standard measurement of HRV related linear parameters in time domain are given in Equation (1) to Equation (6).

Mean of RR interval

\[ RR_m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} RR(i) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Standard Deviation of RR interval

\[ SDNN = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (RR(i) - RR_m)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Square root of the mean of the sum of squares of differences between adjacent

\[ RMSDD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (RR(i+1) - RR(i))^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Standard deviation of differences between adjacent RR intervals

\[ SDSD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (RR_{diff} - RR_{diff})^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where

\[ RR_{diff} = (RR(i+1) - RR(i)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \overline{RR}_{diff} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (RR(i+1) - RR(i)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In frequency domain, non-linear features of HRV power spectrum density are used as another common feature of SCD predictor. The frequency components are grouped into three parts, which are high frequency (0.15-0.4Hz), low frequency (0.04Hz-0.15Hz) and very low frequency (0-0.04Hz). The high frequency components repre-
sent synchronization between respiration cycles and HRV while low frequency components represent oscillations relation of the regulation of blood pressure and vasomotor [48]. Ratio of HF and LF is also used as additional non-linear feature for the analysis.

Non-linear information contains in ECG are equally important to the linear information as ECG itself is a non-linear signal. Ebrahimzahed et al [13] extracted the non-linear information of HRV using smoothed pseudo Wigner-Ville transform (SPWVT) and segmented the signal into 15 windows based on the frequencies group. Combination of these features to the other classical feature have significantly increase the classification accuracy of their model. The study is extended to four-minute prediction prior to SCD event by using other non-linear methods, Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) and Poincare plot (graphical plot of correlation between successive RR intervals). Acharya et al [10] have used 18 non-linear features from wavelet coefficients of recurrence plot, fractal dimensions, Hurst’s exponent, approximate entropy, sample entropy, DFA as well as correlation dimension (CD) for four-minute prediction of SCD [10, 11]. Houshyarifar et al [9] extended the study to six-minute prediction using two linear and six non-linear bispectrum features and produced slightly better prediction accuracy compared to Acharya at fourth minute prediction.

3.4 Classification and prediction performance

Linear and non-linear features extracted from ECG samples are classified into two categories, normal and have risk to SCD. Various machine learning techniques are applied in the classification to obtain the specificity, sensitivity and accuracy of the prediction model. Specificity refers to the ability of the prediction model to classify normal features as normal while sensitivity refers to the ability of the model to detect abnormal features as abnormal [49]. Accuracy can be determined by using both specificity and sensitivity data to show overall performance of the system. This is shown in Figure 3.

![Classification and prediction performance](image)

**Fig. 3.** Specificity, sensitivity and accuracy determination of normal and abnormal signal
Multiple classifiers such as Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), k-Nearest Neighborhood (kNN) and Decision Tree (DT) methods are used to optimize the classification output. Acharya et al. even introduced sudden cardiac death index (SCDI) to classify healthy and SCD subjects using a single number value based on selected non-linear features. For performance comparison, nine similar researches that used SDDB are selected in this paper. Most of them used HRV features of one-minute sample window. Table 2 simplifies all the researches in terms of data used, feature extracted, classification techniques as well as the best prediction accuracy obtained.

Based to Table 2, more than 90% prediction accuracy are achieved with non-linear features compared to solely depending on linear feature as used in [4], [13] and [22]. Best accuracy of 99.73% and 98.68% was recorded at first minute and second minute prediction, which showed higher fluctuation of heart rate right before the event of SCA. Raka et al [22] have tested only HRV features on 3 hours recording of MIT-BIH SCD Holter Database and obtained maximum accuracy 83.9% at 2 minutes prior to the SCA event. However, they have found reasonable prediction accuracy of around 80% at 40 minutes to 50 minutes time, which should provide patient enough time to respond to the predicted situation. Currently, the best prediction time is only at 6 minutes before SCD event with confidence classification accuracy of 92.5% by Houshyarifar et al [9].

A few preliminary studies showed that SCD can be detected as early as thirty minutes or one hour before the event. Vanitha and Sheela et al [7,8] tested HRV features on 30 minutes signals using 10 minutes overlapping samples and obtained up to 90% accuracy using SVM and Hybrid classifier. Devi et al [6] proved that there are significant differences on HRV values of normal and SCD patients after studying a total of one-hour duration of the data using HRV and Poincare plot features.

Table 2. Comparison of technique and performance of SCD detection and prediction models that used MIT-BIH SCD Holter database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Best Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheela et al, [8] 2014</td>
<td>20 SDDB, 20 NSRDB</td>
<td>5 HRV features (SDNN, RMSSD, LF, HF, LF/HF)</td>
<td>SVM with RBF kernel</td>
<td>88% accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanitha et al, [7] 2014</td>
<td>20 SDDB, 20 NSRDB</td>
<td>5 HRV features (SDNN, RMSSD, LF, HF, LF/HF)</td>
<td>Hybrid classifier (pNN, kNN, SVM)</td>
<td>90% accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devi et al, [6] 2017</td>
<td>23 SDDB x2, 18 NSRDB x2</td>
<td>8 HRV features (RRm, SDNN, RMSSD, LF, HF, LF/HF) 2 Poincare Plot (SD1 &amp; SD2)</td>
<td>kNN</td>
<td>Significant different on all features value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Sample window</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raka et al.[22]</td>
<td>10 SDDB, 10 NSRDB</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>HRV features (RRm, RR interval, RMSSD, SDSD)</td>
<td>LDA, Linear SVM, Non-linear fusion SVM</td>
<td>3 h: 78.4% 2 h: 77.8% 1 h: 77.1% 30 min: 80.6% 10 min: 83.2% 6 min: 78.6% 5 min: 78.5% 4 min: 78.5% 3 min: 82.6% 2 min: 83.9% 1 min: 81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houshyarifar et al.[9]</td>
<td>23 SDDB, 18 NSRDB</td>
<td>6 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>2 HRV features (RMSSD, SDNN) 6 bisppectrum features (non-linear higher order spectral (HOS))</td>
<td>LDA, SVM, kNN</td>
<td>4 min: 94.46% 5 min: 91.07% 6 min: 92.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acharya et al.[11]</td>
<td>20 SDDB, 18 NSRDB</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>Non-linear methods 1. Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA) &amp; Entropies 2. Correlation Dimension</td>
<td>DT, kNN, PNN, SVM</td>
<td>1 min: 92.1% 2 min: 86.8% 3 min: 81.5% 4 min: 86.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebrahimzahed et al.[12]</td>
<td>35 SDDB, 35 NSRDB</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>9 HRV features (RRm, SDNN, RMSSD, SDSD, PNN50, VLF, LF, HF, LF/HF) 11 Linear TF-domain features (SPWVT of HRV) 4 Non-linear features (3 Poincare and 1 DFA)</td>
<td>kNN, ANN</td>
<td>1 min: 99.73% 2 min: 96.52% 3 min: 90.36% 4 min: 83.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebrahimzahed et al.[13]</td>
<td>35 SDDB, 35 NSRDB</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>7 HRV features (RRm, SDNN, RMSSD, SDSD, PNN50, VLF, LF, HF, LF/HF) 8 Linear TF-domain features (SPWVT of HRV)</td>
<td>kNN, ANN</td>
<td>1 min: 72.83% 2 min: 72.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Discussion and Recommendation for Future Works

Current performance of the available SCD prediction models as per our knowledge are still poor. One of the reasons is that SDDB is the only available database used. Analysis can only be done using the two channels of ECG signal provided where multiple leads analysis is impossible. Generating new databases is highly recommended. Important information on clinical, biological, electrophysiological, social and psychological variables should be included since these are important variables that could help in predicting SCD [50]. Development of Internet of things (IoT) based
portable and wearable device similar to Holter Monitor is highly encouraged to assist the data collection.

Sample length determination among the studies is varies and not standard. It is suggested to use five-minute sample window since it is adequate to extract HRV features. Peak detection method should be described in detail as it is the most important steps before doing any analysis. Samples with incorrect peaks should be removed from the analysis to avoid false classification. In term of processing technique, studies have shown that combination of non-linear features to linear features of HRV could greatly increase the classification accuracy. Combination of other ECG parameters such as heart rate turbulence, J-point elevation and Tpe characteristics to HRV can be done as they have the potential to be a good predictor of SCD.

As for classification, Deep Learning (DL) method such as Convolution Neural Network (CNN) is more popular nowadays in classifying ECG signal compared to the usual supervised learning methods described in Table 3 [51]. Instead of manually extracted the ECG features, DL algorithms are able to transform a higher-level complexity input in its subsequent layers of processing unit in producing the predicted output. Recurrent neural network (Recurrent NN) also has been used to detect arrhythmias, analyze wave fluctuation and relates it to the cardiovascular events [52]. Predicting SCD using these techniques could be helpful in future works.

Combination of other physiological marker with ECG such as photoplethysmography (PPG) might also contribute to better detection and prediction of sudden cardiac death. This combination is rarely found in cardiovascular disease diagnosis [53]. Utilizing simple, portable, low cost and non-invasive biomarker like PPG is surely demanded as it is well recognized for analyzing oxygen saturation, arterial pulse, heart rate, blood flow, vessel elasticity as well as tissue viability [54,55]. Pulsatile time series information is effective to differentiate normal sinus rhythm with atrial fibrillation using RMSDD, Shannon Entropy and Sample Entropy features [56].

PPG also have been used to classify multiple cardiac arrhythmia. Paradkar et al [57] have used PPG alone in classifying five types of malignant arrhythmia on Physionet Challenge 2015 dataset. At the same time, Mahri et al [58] have utilized second derivative PPG (SDPPG) to classify 32 MI and 32 healthy control subjects while Banerjee et al [59] used PPG to extract morphological features in classifying coronary artery disease. Muhajir et al [60] have evaluated finger PPG fitness index among young women with cardiovascular risk factor and found the correlation between aortic stiffness and CVD risk factors using pulse wave velocity.

These studies showed the feasibility of PPG to be used for SCD detection since it is related to equivalent arrhythmic event that utilizing HRV features. However, PPG alone might be insufficient for complex SCD analysis as it is unable to differentiate electrically narrow-complex beats with wide complex beats [61]. Hence, combination of both ECG and PPG are needed for SCD detection and prediction. Polania et al [62] have successfully used both ECG and PPG signals to differentiate ventricular premature contraction (VPC) and VT from normal sinus rhythm using the HRV method as both arrhythmias might lead to VFib or SCD. Recently, Radha et al [63] also use single lead ECG and PPG to get Pulse Arrival Time (PAT) value in predicting myocardial ischemia with 100% accuracy.
Concluding remarks

This paper highlighted the importance of early prediction of SCD in order to provide enough time for patients in preventing, responding or receiving proper treatment by the time they are experiencing cardiac arrest. Multiple parameters of non-invasive ECG have been summarized. Linear and non-linear features extracted from the parameters are combined to classify healthy and SCD subjects with better accuracy. Among these features, HRV is found as an important independent SCD predictor as it provides a method for assessing cardiac autonomic control. A five-minute sample window is suggested as to minimize loss of information in frequency component analysis of HRV. Combination of HRV with other features such as HRT, J-point elevation and Tpe characteristic should increase the prediction time to SCD. For the time being, current performances of all SCD prediction models are still poor, where confidence prediction accuracy is only found at sixth minute prior to the SCD event. Deep learning method such as CNN and Recurrent NN are recommended to detect and predict the event. Relation of PPG and ECG to SCD can be explored as PPG have the potential to detect arrhythmia.
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